Consultant Name: CEA Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Ŝcale	Score	Weight	Weighter Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	·	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Ceam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Nork	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
l'eam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications —	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		13	'
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
·····	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			ľ
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	1	1	1

See	guidelines	for t	his I	REP	fo	determine the	scale	criteria
	guidennes	101 1	лио і		w	acterning fit	source	orneria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Clark Dietz Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0	**	Jegit
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	Ĭ	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				<u> </u>
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.	***************************************			
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.	***************************************			
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	.]		ľ
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	V		"
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	I	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	-		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	***************************************			1
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	l			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to S00 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Date: 1

1/26/2006

Consultant Name: CMT Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services Category Scoring Criteria Score Scale Weight Weighted Score Disputes Outstanding Agreement Disputes. 0 0 No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old 20 0 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old -3 Past Historical Performance. Performance * Timeliness score from performance database 0 15 0 Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. 0 15 0 Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database 10 0 0 Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT 0 20 1 0 Adequate capacity to meet the schedule 0 Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule -3 Team's Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added Demonstrated value or efficiency to the deliverable. **Qualifications** Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified 0 15 0 2 for req'd services for value added benefit Expertise and resources at appropriate level 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources -3 Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, Project Manager complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. 2 2 5 10 Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database * 0 5 0 Approach to Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed 2 High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed 1 10 10 Basic understanding of the Project 0 Lack of project understanding -3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi 2 16 to 50 mi 5 51 to 150 mi. 0 5 -1 151 to 500 mi Greater than 500 mi -2 For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms -3

Sec 8	puidelines.	for this	RFP to	determine f	he scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Weighted Total

Consultant Name: CrossRoad Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit,	2		1 13	l '
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
***************************************	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	. 0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	ľ		Ů
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
tet a line	Insufficient experience,	-3			l
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	l	0	10	0
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	1		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	***************************************			
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	1	1	1

See guidelines for this RFP to deter	mine the scale criteria.
--------------------------------------	--------------------------

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: CTE Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database,	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
:	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			1
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3		l	
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	0	10	0
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			

3ee	guidelines	for	this	RFP	to	determine	the	scale	criteria.
-----	------------	-----	------	-----	----	-----------	-----	-------	-----------

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: DLZ Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Šcale	Score	Weight	Weighter Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	***************************************	_		
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level,	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 2	3	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	***************************************			†
•	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi,	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	0	5	0
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2		I	
	Greater than 500 mi.	- 2	1	1	3

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Donohue Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.			-	
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
,	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	1		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	~		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	. 500	0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		13	"
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	- 3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	. 2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 -	,	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3 *			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	41		
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	<u> </u>	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	*		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.		1		
	Within 15 mi.	2	~		
	16 to 50 mi.	1	~		
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1	*		
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	s.		
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
******	1		W	eighted Total	25

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Edwards & Kelcey Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	U	15	0
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
***************************************	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	_		10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	***************************************			***************************************
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	I	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	I	I	l

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Farrar, Garvey & Ass. Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	-	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.	***************************************			
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	U	13	U
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources,	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0		,	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1]
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	<u>l</u>	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	1	1	1

_		_							
See	quidelines	for	thic	REP	to	determine t	the.	ecole	criteria

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Floyd E. Burroughs & Ass. Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old,	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.	**************************************		**	1
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	**********	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.	******			
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	l	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	3-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	ľ	13	V
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size,				
	complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2		10
		2 0	2	5	10
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	Namedous confidences in Newscool	2	5	10
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0 -1	2	5	10
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity.	0	2	5	10
Approach to	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience.	0 -1			***********************
Approach to Project	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	0 -1			***********************
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	0 -1 -3 *			****
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	0 -1 -3 *	0	5	0
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	0 -1 -3 *	0	5	0
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project.	0 -1 -3 *	0	5	0
Project	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding.	0 -1 -3 *	0	5	0
Project	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3	0	5	0
Project	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume! Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi.	0 -1 -3 *	0	5	0
Project	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume! Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3	1	10	10
Project	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume! Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi.	0 -1 -2 -3 -3 -3	1	10	10

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: First Group Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Disputes Outstanding Agreement Disputes. No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old. 0 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. 3 Outstanding unresolved unresolved unreformance database. 4 Outstanding unresolved unreformance database. 4 Outstanding unresolved unreformance database. 4 Outstanding unresolved unreformance database unreforment that give and the schedule. 3 Outstanding unresolved unreformance unreforment that give unreforment unre	e Score	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Past Performance Historical Performance. Final Performance Final Performance	0	0		
Past Performance Reformance Historical Performance.			20	0
Performance Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. * Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. * Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. * Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. *			1	
Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. ** Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 151 to 150 mi. 151 to 150 mi. 151 to 500 mi. 151 to 500 mi.				
Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. * Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. * Ream to do Work	C	0	15	0
Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Vithin 15 mi. 1 to 150 mi. 5 to 150 mi.	1	1	15	15
Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. 1 Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule3 Team's Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. 2 Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources3 Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. 0 Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity1 Insufficient experience3 Approach to Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Approach to High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 1 16 to 50 mi. 1 5 1 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi. 151 to 500	1	1	10	10
Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi. -1				
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi. -1	C	0	20	0
Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity because in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. ** Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. Location of 151 to 150 mi. 151 to 150 mi. 151 to 500 mi. 151 to 500 mi. 151 to 500 mi. 151 to 500 mi.	******			
Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. ** Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi. -1				
Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. 2 Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources3 Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
For req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0				
Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3	0	0	15	0
Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. ** Approach to Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1	mare.			
Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1	w(g)-1/2			
complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. 1				
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **				
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	·····	,		10
Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. ** Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. -3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1		2	5	10
Insufficient experience3 Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. 2 High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1				
Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 1	******			
Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 1 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1	1	1	5	5
Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1				
High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1				
Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1	1	1	10	10
Lack of project understanding. -3				
Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi. -1	aum u			
Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1				
.: 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 151 to 500 mi1				
151 to 500 mi1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
151 to 500 mi1	l l	l	5	5
Greater than 500 mi.l -2	******			
For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms3	***************************************			

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Section

Consultant Name: Frost Engineering Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Disputes Outstanding Agreement Disputes. No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old. Outstanding unresolved unresol	Weighte Score	Weight	Score	Scale	Scoring Criteria	Category
Past Historical Performance. Performance Historical Performance. Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance of the schedule. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Prefeat to a schedule. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Acquate capacity to meet the schedule. Acquate capacity to meet the schedul	······································		0			Disputes
Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old3 Historical Performance. Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on the project, bused on the schedule. Quality/Budget score on time. Leaded reliable to INDOT Quality/Budg	0	20		0	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	
Performance Capacity Capacit				-3		
Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Performance of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Lack of project understanding. State of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Greater than 500 mi.				***************************************	Historical Performance.	
Capacity of Ceam to do Work	0	15	0	*	Timeliness score from performance database.	Performance
Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.	0	15	0	*	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	
Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. 1	0	Section of the sectio	0	*		
Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 Approach to High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 1 1 0 10 Lack of project understanding. 1 2 1 0 10 Location 1 1 5 to 50 mi. 5 1 1 5 10 50 mi. 1 5 1 to 50 mi. 1 6 To 50 mi. 1 6 To 50 mi. 1 6 To 50 mi. 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	***************************************				Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.	
Team's Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated Unique expertise and resources identified for reg'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Bisufficient experience. Insufficient experience. In	0	20	0	1	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	Work
Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated Unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit 2 Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources3 Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated expertise and/or resources3 Experience in similar type and complexity 2 0 0 5 Experience in similar type and complexity1 Insufficient experience3 Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 0 10 Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 17 To 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 17 To 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2				0	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	
Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. 2		İ		-3		
For req'd services for value added benefit. 2 0 15 Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 15 Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3 -3 Project Manager Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. 2 0 5 Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 0 10 Basic understanding of the Project. 0 10 Eack of project understanding. -3 -3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 500 mi. -1 51 to 500 mi. -1 6 reater than 500 mi. -2	Wallitta and the teaching				value or efficiency to the deliverable.	Demonstrated
For req'd services for value added benefit. 2	0	15	0			Qualifications
Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3		13	Ŭ	2		
Project Manager Complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding of the Project. Basic understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi. Greater than 500 mi2				0	**************************************	p
complexity, type, subs, documentation skills. Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Basic understanding. Basic understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi. Greater than 500 mi. Greater than 500 mi. -2				-3		
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 Approach to Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. Project High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2					complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.	
Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	0	5	0	2		
Insufficient experience -3	U	J	v I	0	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	
Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. * 0 5 Approach to Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. 2 High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 0 10 Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2				-1	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	
Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi. Greater than 500 mi. -2				-3	Insufficient experience.	
High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2	0	5	0	*	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	
High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. 1 0 10 Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2					Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	
Basic understanding of the Project. 0 Lack of project understanding3 Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 2 16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2				2	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	Project
Lack of project understanding3	0	10	0	1	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	
Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				0	Basic understanding of the Project.	
Location Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				-3	Lack of project understanding.	
16 to 50 mi. 1 51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2	***************************************					ocation
51 to 150 mi. 0 0 5 151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2		1		2	Within 15 mi.	
151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2		I		1	16 to 50 mi.	
151 to 500 mi1 Greater than 500 mi2	0	5	0	0	51 to 150 mi.	
		l		11 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	NOTION CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY	
				-2	Greater than 500 mi.	
1 of 10070 State Inflood agreements, non-indiana minor - 1				-3	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: FRP Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Feam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	Ì		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	0	15	0
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
70	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3	`		
	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.	,			
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	^		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	***************************************			
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
		-3			

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: GRW Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Ŝcale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	<u> </u>	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	1	20	
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				***************************************
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
rain de la companya d	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		13	V
*	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3 *			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	0	10	0
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	I			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-I			
			1	1	1
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		1

See	guidelines	for	this	RFP	to	determine	the	scale	criteria.
-----	------------	-----	------	-----	----	-----------	-----	-------	-----------

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Hanson Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old,	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1		
Past	Historical Performance.	***************************************			1
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	C 1001 COLUMN 1000 COLUMN 1000	2	15	30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		13	30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
. " " 3	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 2		10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3		,	
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	*		
	Lack of project understanding.	۶3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
		-3			

3ee	guidelines	for	this	RFP	to	determine	the	scale	criteria.
-----	------------	-----	------	-----	----	-----------	-----	-------	-----------

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: HNTB Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Šcale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	`	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1		
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		1	15	15
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	.] '	1.0	13
***************************************	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 2	,	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3	-		
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				1
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
		See 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 1. 1984		3	I
	151 to 500 mi.	-1]	1	1
	151 to 500 mi. Greater than 500 mi.	-1 -2			

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: JSE Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1		
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	######################################	2	15	30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		15	30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	2	,	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	***************************************			
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				·
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			1

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: K & S Engineers Services Description: Project Development Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	`	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	1	1	
Past	Historical Performance.			1	†
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	-3	20	-60
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	×		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		-3	15	-45
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	-5	15	
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	-1	5	-5
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	-1		-5
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1	1		
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	-3	10	-30
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	-		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	ì		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	······································			
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi,	0	0	5	0
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Annual Control of the	reger at a service		I	I
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2		1	

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: LFAPC Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	- 3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Timeliness score from performance database. Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database. * 1 10 Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT. Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable. Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit. Expertise and resources at appropriate level. Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3	10			
Capacity of Team to do					
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	l	20	20
		0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated					
Qualifications			,	15	30
		2		1 13	30
		-3			
Project Manager					
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	0	5	0
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3]	1	

See	ouidelines	for this	REP to	determine	the scale	criteria

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Major Engineering Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				1
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			"
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	~ U		ľ
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	0	10	0
	Basic understanding of the Project,	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	leastion of optional staff to efficiently the state of				
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				l
Location	Within 15 mi.	2			
Location	по при	2			
Location	Within 15 mi.	Salising O September Comment of the Co.	1	5	5
Location	Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi.	1	1	5	5
Location	Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi.	1	1	5	5

See	midelines	for this	DED to	determine the	ecole criteria
256	guidelines	ior this	Krrto	actermine the	scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: PBQD Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	^		
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database,	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	3	0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
District Witnessell	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
rioject Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.		*	5	
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0		0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			ľ
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			- Colons
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	0	10	0
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 m.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			1

See g	guideline	s for th	is RFF	' to (determine	the	scale	criteria.
-------	-----------	----------	--------	--------	-----------	-----	-------	-----------

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: QEPI Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.	***************************************			1
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	20	0
Capacity of Feam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	-3	20	-60
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Feam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		-3		
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified			15	-45
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			-43
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
				ı	l
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1		
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume!	2 0	-1	5	-5
		CONTRACTOR NO CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	-1	5	-5
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0 -1 -3	-1	5	-5
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity.	0 -1	-1 0		-5 0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	0 -1 -3			20/22
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	0 -1 -3			20/22
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	0 -1 -3 *		5	20/22
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	0 -1 -3 *	O	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	0 -1 -3 *	O	5	0
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0	O	5	0
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0	O	5	0
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume! Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3	O	5	0
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3	O	5	0
Approach to Project Location	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi.	0 -1 -3 -3 1 0 -3	0	10	0
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3	0	10	0

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: R. W. Armstrong Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	*	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				1
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	. 10	10
Capacity of Feam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Feam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		2		
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	***************************************		15	30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0		J	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3 *			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	^		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
DOCKLION.	Within 15 mi.	2			
WY WELLEVIE	THE CLIMINA		"3		I
SO WILLIAM	16 to 50 mi.	1			l
	The state of the contract of t	1 0	1	5	5
	16 to 50 mi.	1	1	5	5
	16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi.	1 0	1	5	5

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: RQAW Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	*	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	*		
Past	Historical Performance.	······································			
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	20 20 15 1 15 1 10 20 20 25 1 5 5	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Feam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	ľ	1,7	Ů
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
COR Magneton					
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2		10
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity. Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	2	5	10
		a commercial construction of the second	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0 -1 -3	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity.	0 -1	2	v s <u>law no manano mano o ronno qu</u>	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience.	0 -1 -3	works some damps with more or	v s <u>law no manano mano o ronno qu</u>	
Approach to Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	0 -1 -3	works some damps with more or	v s <u>law no manano mano o ronno qu</u>	
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.	0 -1 -3 *	works some damps with more or	5	
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	0 -1 -3 *		5	5
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	0 -1 -3 *		5	5
roject	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project.	0 -1 -3 *		5	5
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding.	0 -1 -3 *		5	5
roject	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	0 -1 -3 *		5	5
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3		5	5
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi.	0 -1 -3 * 2 1 0 -3		10	0
Project	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'. Experience in different type or lower complexity. Insufficient experience. Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database. Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi.	0 -1 -3 * 2 0 -3		10	0

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Schneider Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	1	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				<u> </u>
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		2	15	30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2		2 19	30
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources,	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	2	٦	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1]		
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	l	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	1		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi,	2	`		
	16 to 50 mi,	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1	-		
	Greater than 500 mi,	-2			
ŀ					

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: SJCA Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	-	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
·	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
:	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		2	15	30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			20
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1	3	10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
:	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	ì			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	to the second se	-1	1		
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	1		
	Y		.ı	ighted Total	8

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Strand Associates Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of l'eam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Feam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		0		
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			0
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0 -3			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	Ü		\ \ \
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2		10	
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	0		0
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	- 3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mî.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	0	5	0
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	1	1	1

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: TCE Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	•	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.			15	
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0		0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			V
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 -		, ,
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
:	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	***		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2	**		
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	31 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2	1		
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
***************************************			1//	eighted Total	25

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: United Consultants Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
*	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3	"		
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	1	15	15
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	1	10	10
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.	<u> </u>			
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	***		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				30
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified	gr., 1900.	2	15	
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				10
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1 4		
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1	1		
	Insufficient experience,	-3	4		.
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	1	5	5
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			10
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	1		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	*1		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1	1		
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	· 1	1	I

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: URS Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	"	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	Ħ	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
l'eam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
·	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
l'eam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.	100-24-14 (100 a 100			
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		2	15	30
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	1	3	
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
٠.	Insufficient experience,	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	l	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
ocation	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				<u> </u>
	Within 15 mi.	2	^		
	; 16 to 50 mi.	1	4		
	51 to 150 mi.	0	l	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	COMMAND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND		1	1	1
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			1

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: USI Consultants Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Ŝcale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
· · · ·	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Feam to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
l'eam's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.			15	
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0		0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			ľ
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			<u> </u>
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.			5	
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2		10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3		******	
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			10
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	1	1	10	
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	Within 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
	151 to 500 mi.	- i			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3	· · · •	1	1

٠	2.31.12	c	41. 1 -	nen		1	41	1-	
) UU	guidelines	101	uns	Krr	ω	determine	HE	SCALE	cincita.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Wilcox & Associates Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Ŝcale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.	***************************************	0		
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	`	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past	Historical Performance.				1
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database,	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	`		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		0	15	0
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	U		
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0		1	
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Managei	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	5	0
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0		1 3	
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
	Instolical renormance of Firm's Project Management from database.)	,
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				V
Approach to Project		2			
	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.		0	10	0
	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project.	2			
	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	2 1 0			
Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding.	2 1 0			
Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.	2 1 0 -3			
Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi.	2 1 0 -3			
Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi.	2 1 0 -3	0	10	0
Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed. High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed. Basic understanding of the Project. Lack of project understanding. Location of assigned staff to office relative to project. Within 15 mi. 16 to 50 mi. 51 to 150 mi.	2 1 0 -3	0	10	0

See guidelines for this RFP to determine the scale criteria.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

Consultant Name: Woolpert Services Description: Bridge Project Dev. Services

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.		0		
•	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	^	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	- 3	*		
Past	Historical Performance.				
Performance	Timeliness score from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.	*	0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.	*	0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
Work	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0	***		
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3	**		
Team's Demonstrated	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				30
Qualifications	Demonstrated unique expertise and resources identified		2	15	
	for req'd services for value added benefit.	2			50
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0	~		10
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3	~		
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.	*	0	5	0
Approach to	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
Project	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	"		
	High level of understanding and/or viable inovative ideas proposed.	l	1	10	10
	Basic understanding of the Project.	0	***		
	Lack of project understanding.	-3	~		
Location	Location of assigned staff to office relative to project.				
	WithIn 15 mi.	2			
	16 to 50 mi.	1	***		
	51 to 150 mi.	0	1	5	5
			(V.)		1
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	NATION CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE	-1 -2			

200	guidelines	for	thic	DED	۲۵	datarmina	tha	conla	aritaria
300	guiucinics	TOI	11115	r_{r}	w	determine	uc	Scare	Cilicila.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories. Signed:

Title: Consultant Services Manager

		·	•